IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

WRIT PETITION NO. 7032 (SS) OF 2001

1. Lalit Mohan Bangari,
   S/o Shri Narayan Singh Bangari,
   R/o Village Rampur, P.O. Pipra,
   District-Almora.
2. Govind Singh,
   S/o Kundan Singh,
   R/o Village Githia, Post Paisia,
   District-Almora.
3. Jagat Singh,
   S/o Dan Singh,
   R/o Village Daimi,
   P.O. Kafalta, District Almora.
4. Balam Singh, S/o Ram Singh,
   R/o Village Kola, P.O. Pipna,
   District-Almora.
5. Hira Singh, S/o Musal Singh,
   R/o Village Jhipa, Post Office Pipna,
   District-Almora.
6. Ram Singh Kararoti, S/o Mohan Singh,
   R/o Village Palligaon, Post Office Kafalta,
   District – Almora.
7. Bhagat Singh, S/o Anop Singh,
   R/o Village Kaligarh, Post Office Kafalta,
   District – Almora.
8. Ram Singh, S/o Kunwar Singh,
   R/o Village Kaligarh,
   Post Office Kafalta, District – Almora.
9. Khyali Dutt, S/o Devi Dutt,

R/o Village and Post Office-Sakanara,
District-Almora.

10. Anand Singh, S/o Nandan Singh,
R/o Village Sipra, P.O. – Sainamanur,
District-Almora.

11. Deo Singh, S/o Prem Singh,
R/o Village Janatara Galla,
Post Office Chamkana, District-Almora.

12. Khyali Ram, S/o Daya Ram,
R/o Village Bhainsikhet,
Post Office Rathkhal, District-Almora.

13. Krishchanda, S/o Khusal Ram,
R/o Village Bhawali Talla, P.O. Bangidhar,
District-Almora.

14. Ganesh Dutt, S/o Parmanand,
R/o Village & Post Pipna,
District-Almora. .....Petitioners.

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal, through Secretary, Education (Basic), Dehradun.
2. Principal District Education and Training (D.I.E.T.).

.....Respondents.

Dated: 13.06.2006

Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.

Heard Shri M.K. Singh, Advocate for the petitioners and Standing counsel for the respondents.

By the present writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the

degree of B.P.Ed. held by the petitioners form Nagpur University and Rohtak University to be equal to the degree of U.P. and Uttaranchal according to the Government Order dated 16.03.1986.

On 11.02.2005, following interim order was passed:-

" Heard learned counsel for the parties.

By order dated 05.09.2003 this court directed respondents to consider the candidature of th epetitioner fro special BTC. In pursuance to that order petitioners were permitted to undergo the training and now, they have completed the training of Special BTC.

Learned counsel for the petitioners no. 10 and 11 has submitted that result of the Special BTC Training Examination has been declared but the result of the petitioner has not been declared.

Since the petitioners no. 10 and 11 have already completed the training, respondents are directed to declare the result of the petitioners no. 10 and 11, provisionally, provided petitioners no. 10 and 11 have completed their training successfully and if they are selected for appointment to the cost of Assistant Teacher in Primary school be subject to the decision of the writ petition.

Application is disposed of accordingly.

List the matter in the first week of March, 2005 along with Writ Petition No. 708 (SB) of 2002.

In the meantime, learned standing counsel may file counter affidavit.

In the meantime, learned standing counsel may file counter affidavit."

Pursuant to the aforesaid interim order, the result of the petitioners was declared and thereafter, they have also been

given appointment and have/joined the same and were found successful.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajesh Tandon, J.)

13.06.2006

Rathour